

The Hon. John H. Chun

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PARADISE SHAW DREIA WILLIAMS,

Defendant

NO. CR23-090-JHC

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Consolidation Motion for Preliminary Order of Forfeiture and Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion"), in which the United States moved for an Order of Forfeiture forfeiting, to the United States, Defendant Paradise Shaw Dreia Williams' interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$2,023,104 representing a portion of the proceeds Defendant Williams obtained from her commission of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- 1 • The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, are forfeitable
- 2 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c);
- 3 • In the Plea Agreement she entered on December 11, 2023, Defendant Williams
- 4 agreed to forfeiture her interest in the above-referenced sum of money pursuant to
- 5 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c), as it reflects proceeds that
- 6 she obtained from her commission of Wire Fraud and to which she entered a guilty
- 7 plea (Dkt. No. 142, ¶ 13);
- 8 • The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Williams and,
- 9 pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no
- 10 third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

11
12 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

13 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c), and her
14 Plea Agreement, Defendant Williams’ interest in a sum of money in the amount of
15 \$2,023,104 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

16 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become
17 final as to the Defendant Williams at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the
18 sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

19 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party
20 other than the United States;

21 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting
22 the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order,
23 at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$2,023,104;

24 5) Forfeiture of the sum of money is separate and distinct from the restitution
25 that is ordered in this case; and

26
27 ///

DATED this 26th day of February, 2024.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2024.

John H. Chun

 THE HON. JOHN H. CHUN
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

s/Jehiel I. Baer

 JEHIEL I. BAER
 Assistant United States Attorney
 United States Attorney's Office
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
 Seattle, WA 98101
 (206) 553-2242
 Fax: 206-553-6934
 Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov